Cyclical Review of Programs and Units

Development

1. Review commissioned.
2. Terms of Reference.
3. Nomination of reviewers by the Dean. Approval by the Vice-Provost, Academic Programs.
4. Review announced.
5. Self-Study.

Review

1. Visit by external reviewers.
2. Report written by the external reviewers.
3. Request from the Vice-Provost for administrative response and implementation plan from the Dean.
4. Administrative response and implementation plan received.

Governance

1. Report goes to the Committee on Academic Policy & Programs.
2. Report goes to the Academic Board.
3. Report goes to the Executive Committee of Governing Council.
4. Possible one-year follow-up report to AP&P.

Note:
Reviews go to governance Cycle 2 and Cycle 5.

Oversight

1. Final Assessment Report and Implementation Plan (FAR/IP) prepared by the VPAP office.
2. FAR/IP submitted to Quality Council by the VPAP office.
3. FAR/IP posted on the VPAP website (www.vpacademic.utoronto.ca).